Tafas v. Dudas et al Doc. 66 Att. 38

Case 1:07-cv-00846-JCC-TRJ Document 66-39 Filed 11/14/2007 Page 1 of 3

EXHIBIT 23

Final USPTO Rule on Claims and Continuations

Overview of Major Issues and Concerns

SDIPLA October 11, 2007

Robert J. Spar

Attorney at Law, Former Dir. (Ret'd) of Office of Patent Legal Administration, Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examination Policy, USPTO

3201 Birchtree Lane, Silver Spring, MD 20906

301-460-1889 (fax) 301-742-4567 (cell) bobspar1@comcast.net 301-460-1231

Claims and Continuations Final Rule Four Prosecution Burdens Shifted

Situations where examination burdens have been shifted from the

When there is a large number of claims to examine: examiner to applicant; and the expected results

If more than 5/25 claims are presented

but it is expected that most applicants will not file an ESD, An ESD will be required to aid in the examination

so the net effect expected is:

compliance with the 5/25 limits; and

elimination of almost all applications with very large numbers of claims.

claims before filing, in meaningfully amending claims and/or traversing application, practitioners will probably put more emphasis on drafting rejections, and in advancing prosecution issues with each response. Because only a limited number of claims will be prosecuted in an

Robert J. Spar